

1600 South Second Street Mount Vernon, WA 98273-5202 ph 360.428.1617 fax 360.428.1620 www.nwcleanair.org

Air Operating Permit Excess Emissions Report Form Part II

5.77	ww.nwcleanair.org				
Name of Facility	Shell, Puget Sound Refinery	Reported by	Tim Figgie		
Date of notification	May 10, 2011	Incident type: breakdown/ upset/startup or shutdown	Upset		
Start Date	May 10, 2011	Start Time:	12:00 PM (noon)		
End Date	May 10, 2011	End Time:	12:00 AM (midnight)		
Process unit or syster	m(s): SRU3		-		
Incident Description On May 10, 2011 at approximately 10:15 AM the SRU3 tripped out during testing of the protective shutdown systems. An Instrument Technician was testing the incinerator fire-eyes (detects flame and will shutdown the unit if no flame is detected). There are 6 fire-eyes that require testing and a 3-second delay is required between each fire-eye before testing the next can be done. The technician inadvertently tested too quickly, tripping the unit. The test procedure the technician was following indicated a delay between fire-eyes is required although it did not specify a time period. The procedure has been updated to specify a 3-second delay is					
required.					

After the initial trip amine acid gas (AAG) was routed to the flare for a short period of time, until feed could be routed to SRU4. The Flare Gas Recovery unit picked up the AAG in the flare, limiting flaring of AAG. There were no exceedances on the flare. The SRU3 was restarted at approximately 3:20 PM. The SRU3 250-ppm 12-hour rolling average SO2 was high from 12 noon until 12 midnight on May 10.

Immediate steps taken to limit the duration and/or quantity of excess emissions: AAG was routed to SRU4 and the FGR was operating to pick up excess flare gas.						
Applicable air operating permit term(s): 5.8.15						
Estimated Excess Emissions: Based on SO2 CEMS and calculated stack flow	Pollutant(s): SO2	Pounds (Estimate): 4.6				
The incident was the result of the following (check all that apply): Scheduled equipment startup Scheduled equipment shutdown Poor or inadequate design Careless, poor, or inadequate operation Poor or inadequate maintenance A reasonably preventable condition Did the facility receive any complaints from the public? No Yes (provide details below)						

Did the incident result in the violation of an ambient air quality standard

Air Operating Permit Excess Emissions Report Form Part II Page 2	
No Yes (provide details below)	
Root and other contributing causes of incident: Inadvertent trip of SRU3 during protective systems testing.	
Induvertent trip of 5k05 during protective systems testing.	
The root cause of the incident was: The retention of records of all required monitoring data and support information shall be kept for a period of five yea rom the date of the report as per the WAC regulation (173-401-615)) Identified for the first time Identified as a recurrence (explain previous incident(s) below – provide dates)	ırs
Are the emissions from the incident exempted by the NSPS or NESHAP "malfunction" definition	ns
pelow?	
No Voc (describe below)	
Yes (describe below)	
Definition of NSPS "Malfunction": Any sudden, infrequent, and not reasonably preventable failure of air pollution cont equipment, process equipment, or failure of a process to operate in a normal or usual manner. Failures that are caused part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions. 40 CFR 60.2 Definition of NESHAP "Malfunction": Any sudden, infrequent, and not reasonably preventable failure of air pollution control and monitoring equipment, process equipment, or a process to operate in a normal or usual manner which the sauses, or has the potential to cause, the emission limitations in an applicable standard to be exceeded. Failures that are caused in part by poor maintenance or careless operation are not malfunctions. 40 CFR 63.2	ised
Analyses of measures available to reduce likelihood of recurrence (evaluate possible design, operational, and maintenance changes; discuss alternatives, probable effectiveness, and cost; letermine if an outside consultant should be retained to assist with analyses):	
The fire-eye testing procedure was changed to clearly specify the amount of delay required between each fire-eye during testing.	
Description of corrective action to be taken (include commencement and completion dates):	
See above	
f correction not required, explain basis for conclusion:	
See above Attach Reports, Reference Documents, and Other Backup Material as Necessary. This report satisfies the requirement of the NWCAA regulation 340, 341, 342 and the WAC regulation (173-400-107). Is the investigation continuing? Sho Yes She source requesting additional time for completion of the report?	ts of
Based upon information and belief formed after reasonable inquiry, I certify that the statements and information in this document and all referenced documents and attachments are true, accurate and complete.	
Prepared By: _ Tim Figgie Date:May 11, 2011	
Responsible Official or Designee:	